

0000096911

TO:

THE COMMISSION

FROM:

Utilities Division

DATE: May 12, 2009 2009 MAY 12 P 4: 59

DOCKET CONTROL

Arizona Comporation Commission DOCKETED

M/Y 12 2009

DOCKETED EY

RE:

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF RANCHO DEL CONEJO COMMUNITY WATER CO-OP, INC., FOR AN ARSENIC REMEDIATION SURCHARGE (DOCKET NOS. W-02102B-07-0273 AND W-02102B-07-0163)

Introduction

On February 27, 2009, pursuant to Decision No. 70311¹, Rancho Del Conejo Community Water Co-op, Inc. ("Rancho del Conejo" or "Cooperative") filed a request with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") for approval of an arsenic remediation surcharge. Rancho del Conejo is a non-profit corporation and a certificated Class C public service corporation that provides water service to approximately 327 customers in Pima County, Arizona. The Cooperative is located approximately 2½ miles north of the City of Tucson.

Background

Rancho del Conejo's current rates were approved in Decision No. 70311.

Decision No. 70311 also authorized the Cooperative to borrow up to \$200,000 from the Arizona Water Infrastructure Finance Authority ("WIFA"), and ordered that the Cooperative file an arsenic remediation surcharge mechanism ("ARSM")² application for the arsenic related portion of the WIFA loan.

ARSM

In the rate case, Staff recommended a methodology for determining the surcharge amount once the Cooperative knew the final loan amount and terms. Staff's methodology involved: 1) finding the annual payment on the loan; 2) finding the annual interest payment amount; 3) finding the annual principal payment amount; 4) calculating the total annual surcharge revenue requirement, which is the sum of the annual interest and principal payments; 5) determining the vearly total number of customers, by multiplying the total monthly number of bills for all meter sizes by 12; and 6) determining the monthly surcharge for each customer by dividing the annual surcharge revenue by the number of customer bills.

¹ Dated April 24, 2008.

² Portions of the Decision use the term "arsenic remediation surcharge" instead of "arsenic remediation surcharge mechanism" or "ARSM." This memorandum uses the latter term hereafter.

THE COMMISSION May 12, 2009 Page 2

Decision No. 70311 concluded that Staff's recommendations were reasonable and should be adopted.³

Staff has replicated the methodology adopted by Decision No. 70311, to reflect the most recent data. The resulting surcharge would be \$3.18 per customer, as indicated in Schedule PMC-1, attached.

Staff has recommended in previous ARSM cases the use of the American Water Works Association ("AWWA") meter capacity multiplier, when recommending ARSM surcharge rates.⁴ However, Staff inadvertently did not use the AWWA meter capacity multiplier in its recommendation leading to Decision No. 70311.

In the event that the Commission were to decide to include the AWWA meter capacity multiplier the ARSM surcharges by meter size would be as follows: 5/8 x 3/4 inch, \$2.90; 3/4 inch, \$4.35; and 1 inch, \$7.26 (as indicated in Schedule PMC-2).⁵

Cooperative's Adjustment

In Rancho del Conejo's ARSM application, the Cooperative indicates that the surcharge should be increased by 10 percent to address nonpayment and late payment concerns.

Decision No. 70311, however, did not authorize an increase to the surcharge due to nonpayment and late payments; therefore, Rancho del Conejo's request should be denied.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Staff concludes that implementation of an ARSM resulting in a surcharge of \$3.18 per customer, as indicated on Schedule PMC-1, is consistent with Decision No. 70311.

Staff recommends approval of an ARSM as shown on Schedule PMC-1.

Staff further recommends that Rancho del Conejo's request to increase the surcharge by 10 percent, be denied.

Staff further recommends that the Cooperative file a tariff explaining the terms and conditions of the arsenic remedial surcharge within 30 days of the effective date of the decision resulting from this proceeding.

³ Decision No. 70311 recommended a slightly higher operating margin, which is immaterial for the ARSM calculation.

⁴ See further, Staff's testimony under Docket No. W-01583A-04-0188 et al., filed on January 25, 2006, and Docket No. W-01344A-05-0183 et al, filed on January 23, 2006.

⁵ Schedule PMC-2 provides the tentative surcharge for other meter sizes. This Memorandum omits those numbers since the Cooperative does not have any customers in such meter sizes at this time.

THE COMMISSION May 12, 2009 Page 3

Staff further recommends that Rancho del Conejo notify its customers of the Arsenic Remedial Surcharge tariff within 30 days of the effective date of the decision resulting from this proceeding.

Ernest G. Johnson

Director

Utilities Division

EGJ:PMC:lhm\AH

Originator: Pedro M. Chaves

CALCULATION OF SURCHARGE AMOUNT

Arsenic Surcharge - as determined by Arsenic Remediation Surcharge Mechanism

Arsenic Remedial Plant Loan Amount \$ 168,806

Total Yearly Interest and Principal Payments Based on a 20-year WIFA Loan and a 4.20% Interest Rate. \$ 12,489.70

Total Annual Bills \$ 3,924.00

Surcharge Amount (\$12,490 / 3,924) \$ 3.18

Meter	Number of	Number of	M	onthly	Y	early early	Total
Size	Customers 1	Bills x 12	Sur	charge	Su	charge	Amount
5/8" x 3/4" Meter	298	3576	\$	3.18	\$	38.19	\$ 11,382.05
3/4" Meter	12	144	\$	3.18		38.19	458.34
1" Meter	17	204	\$	3.18		38.19	649.31
1 1/2" Meter	0	0		-		-	-
2" Meter	0	0		-		-	-
3" Meter	0	0		-		-	-
4" Meter	0	0		-		-	-
6" Meter	0	0		_		-	
TOTAL	327	3924					\$ 12,489.70

¹ As of March 31, 2009.

CALCULATION OF SURCHARGE AMOUNT

Arsenic Surcharge - as determined by Arsenic Remediation Surcharge Mechanism Inclusive of AWWA Meter Multiplier

Arsenic Remedial Plant Loan Amount			168,806	
Total Yearly Interest and Principal Pay 20-year WIFA Loan and a 4.	\$	12,489.70		
Total Equivalent Annual Bills			4,302	
5/8"x 3/4" Meter Surcharge Amount	(\$12,490 / 4,302)	\$	2.90	
3/4" Meter Surcharge Amount	(\$12,490 / 4,302) * 1.5	\$	4.35	
1" Meter Surcharge Amount	(\$12,490 / 4,302) * 2.5	\$	7.26	
1 1/2" Meter Surcharge Amount	(\$12,490 / 4,302) * 5	\$	14.52	
2" Meter Surcharge Amount	(\$12,490 / 4,302) * 8	\$	23.23	
3." Meter Surcharge Amount	(\$12,490 / 4,302) * 16	\$	46.45	
4" Meter Surcharge Amount	(\$12,490 / 4,302) * 25	\$	72.58	
6" Meter Surcharge Amount	(\$12,490 / 4,302) * 50	\$	145.16	

Meter Size	Number of Customers ¹	Meter Multiplier	Equivalent Customers	Equivalent No. of Bills	Monthly Surcharge	Yearly Surcharge	Total Amount
5/8" x 3/4" Meter	298	1	298	3,576	\$ 2.90	\$ 34.84	\$ 10,381.95
3/4" Meter	12	1.5	18	216	4.35	52.26	627.10
1" Meter	17	2.5	43	510	7.26	87.10	1,480.65
1 1/2" Meter	0	5	0	0	14.52	0	0
2" Meter	0	8	0	0	23.23	0	0
3" Meter	0	16	0	0	46.45	0	0
4" Meter	0	25	0	0	72.58	0	0
6" Meter	0	50	0	0	145.16	0	0
TOTAL	327		359	4302			\$ 12,489.70

¹ As of March 31, 2009.

WIFA LOAN DATA

Amount Requested	\$168,806		
Down Payment:	\$0		
Amount Financed:	\$168,806	Compounding Periods:	12
Number of years:	20	APR:	4.28%
Interest Before Subsidy	6.00%		
WIFA Subsidy Rate	70.00%		
WIFA Interest rate (r):	4.20%		

LOAN AMORTIZATION SCHEDULE

Payments

			ı ayıı	ICITES				
		Beginning-			End-of-month			
Period	Loan payment (1)	of-month principal (2)	Interest [r * (2)] (3)	Principal [(1) - (3)] (4)	principal [(2) - (4)] (5)	Annual Interest (6)	Annual Principal (7)	Annual Debt Payment (8)
renou	(1)	(2)	(0)	(.,	(-)		()	.,
1	\$1,040.81	\$168,806.00	\$590.82	\$449.99	\$168,356.01			
2	1,040.81	168,356.01	589.25	451.56	167,904.45			
3	1,040.81	167,904.45	587.67	453.14	167,451.31			
4	1,040.81	167,451.31	586.08	454.73	166,996.58			
5	1,040.81	166,996.58	584.49	456.32	166,540.26			
6	1,040.81	166,540.26	582.89	457.92	166,082.34			
7	1,040.81	166,082.34	581.29	459.52	165,622.82			
8	1,040.81	165,622.82	579.68	461.13	165,161.69			
9	1,040.81	165,161.69	578.07	462.74	164,698.95			
10	1,040.81	164,698.95	576.45	464.36	164,234.59			
11	1,040.81	164,234.59	574.82	465.99	163,768.60			
12	1.040.81	163,768.60	573.19	467.62	163,300.98	6,984.68	5,505.02	12,489.70

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 1 KRISTIN K. MAYES 2 Chairman 3 GARY PIERCE Commissioner PAUL NEWMAN 4 Commissioner SANDRA D. KENNEDY 5 Commissioner **BOB STUMP** 6 Commissioner 7 DOCKET NOS. W-02102B-07-0273 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION 8 W-02102B-07-0163 OF RANCHO DEL CONEJO COMMUNITY WATER CO-OP, INC., FOR AN ARSENIC DECISION NO. 9 REMEDIATION SURCHARGE ORDER 10 11 12 Open Meeting May 26 and 27, 2009 13 Phoenix, Arizona 14 BY THE COMMISSION: FINDINGS OF FACT 15 Rancho del Conejo Community Water Co-op, Inc. ("Rancho del Conejo" or 16 1. "Cooperative") is a non-profit corporation and a certificated Class C public service corporation 17 that provides water service to approximately 327 customers in Pima County, Arizona. The 18 Cooperative is located approximately 2½ miles north of the City of Tucson. 19 Rancho del Conejo's current rates were approved in Decision No. 70311, dated 20 2. 21 April 24, 2008. Decision No. 70311 also authorized the Cooperative to borrow up to \$200,000 from 22 3. the Arizona Water Infrastructure Finance Authority ("WIFA"), and ordered that the Cooperative 23 file an arsenic remediation surcharge mechanism ("ARSM") application for the arsenic related 24

On February 27, 2009, pursuant to Decision No. 70311, Rancho del Conejo filed an

application with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") requesting an Arsenic

27

28

25

26

Remediation Surcharge Mechanism ("ARSM").

portion of the WIFA loan.

5. In the rate case, Staff recommended a methodology for determining the surcharge amount once the Cooperative knew the final loan amount and terms. Staff's methodology involved: 1) finding the annual payment on the loan; 2) finding the annual interest payment amount; 3) finding the annual principal payment amount; 4) calculating the total annual surcharge revenue requirement, which is the sum of the annual interest and principal payments; 5) determining the yearly total number of customers, by multiplying the total monthly number of bills for all meter sizes by 12; and 6) determining the monthly surcharge for each customer by dividing the annual surcharge revenue by the number of customer bills.

- 6. Decision No. 70311 concluded that Staff's recommendations were reasonable and should be adopted.
- 7. Staff replicated the methodology adopted by Decision No. 70311, to reflect the most recent data. The resulting surcharge was \$3.18 per customer.
- 8. In Rancho del Conejo's ARSM application, the Cooperative indicated that the surcharge should be increased by 10 percent to address nonpayment and late payment concerns.
- 9. Decision No. 70311, however, did not authorize an increase to the surcharge due to nonpayment and late payments; therefore, Rancho del Conejo's request should be denied.
- 10. Based on its analysis, Staff concluded that implementation of an ARSM resulting in a surcharge of \$3.18 per customer is consistent with Decision No. 70311.
 - 11. Staff recommends approval of an ARSM as shown below:

Meter Size	Number of Customers ¹	Number of Bills x 12	Monthly Surcharge		Yearly Surcharge		Total Amount
5/8" x 3/4" Meter	298	3576	\$	3.18	\$	38.19	\$11,382.05
3/4" Meter	12	144	\$	3.18		38.19	458.34
1" Meter	17	204	\$	3.18		38.19	649.31
1 1/2" Meter	0	0		-		-	-
2" Meter	0	0		-		-	-
3" Meter	0	0		-		-	-
4" Meter	0	0		-		-	-
6" Meter	0	0		_			_
TOTAL	327	3924					\$12,489.70

¹ Number of customers as of March 31, 2009.

Decision No.

- 12. Staff further recommends that Rancho del Conejo's request to increase the surcharge by 10 percent, be denied.
- 13. Staff further recommends that the Cooperative file a tariff explaining the terms and conditions of the arsenic remedial surcharge within 30 days of the effective date of the decision resulting from this proceeding.
- 14. Staff further recommends that Rancho Del Conejo notify its customers of the Arsenic Remedial Surcharge tariff within 30 days of the effective date of the decision resulting from this proceeding.
 - 15. Staffs recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Rancho del Conejo is a public service corporation pursuant to article XV of the Arizona Constitution and A.R.S. §§ 40-250 and 40-251.
- 2. The Commission has jurisdiction over Rancho del Conejo and the subject matter of the application.
 - 3. Staff's recommendations are reasonable and should be adopted.

<u>ORDER</u>

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Application of Rancho del Conejo Community Water Co-op, Inc. for authorization of an arsenic remediation surcharge as described herein is hereby approved.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Rancho del Conejo Community Water Co-op, Inc.'s request to increase the surcharge by 10 percent is hereby denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Rancho del Conejo Community Water Co-op, Inc. shall file with the Commission's Docket Control, as a compliance item in this docket, a tariff explaining the terms and conditions of the arsenic remedial surcharge within 30 days of the date of this decision.

Decision No.

02102B-07-0273, et al.
Water Co-op, Inc. shall
ays of the effective date
ive immediately.
OMMISSION
ER
COMMISSIONER
COMMISSIONER
EL P. KEARNS, Interim orporation Commission,
the official seal of this
Capitol, in the City of, 2009.

·

Decision No.

Decision No.

SERVICE LIST FOR: RANCHO DEL CONEJO COMMUNITY WATER CO-OP, INC. 1 W-02102B-07-0273 and W-02102B-07-0163 DOCKET NO.: 2 3 Mr. Steve Wene Moyes Sellers & Sims 1850 North Central Avenue, Suite 1100 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 5 6 Mr. Ernest G. Johnson Director, Utilities Division 7 Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington 8 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 9 Ms. Janice M. Alward 10 Chief Counsel, Legal Division Arizona Corporation Commission 11 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28